arXiv:1606.05932v1 [math.AG] 20 Jun 2016

SURFACES WITH $\chi = 5, K^2 = 9$ AND A CANONICAL INVOLUTION

ZHIMING LIN

1. INTRODUCTION

Complex nonsingular minimal projective surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 2\chi - n$ have been classified for various n's ($n \leq 6$ by Noether's inequality): Horikawa [11] [13] for $4 \leq n \leq 6$; Liu [16] for $n = 3$ and $\chi \geq 6$; Bauer [2] for $n = 3$ and $\chi = 5$; Marti-Sanchez [17] for $n = 2$ and $\chi \geq 6$; Bauer and Pignatelli [3] for $n = 2$, $\chi = 5$ and with a canonical involution; Catanese, Liu and Pignatelli [8] for $n = 2$, $\chi = 5$ and with an even canonical divisor; Werner [21] for $n = 1$ and $\chi \geq 7$; Murakami [18] [19] for $n = 1$, $\chi = 4$ and with a non-trivial torsion.

In this paper we consider the case $n = 1$ and $\chi = 5$. We follow the arguments of Bauer and Pignatelli [3] to classify these surfaces under the assumption that the canonical map factors through an involution. Our main results are the following (see Proposition 7.1 and Theorem 7.3 for more precise statement).

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a complex nonsingular minimal projective surface of general type with $\chi = 5$ and $K^2 = 9$. We assume that the canonical map of S factors through an involution. Then the moduli space of such surfaces consists of six families, whose dimensions are 28, 27, 33, 32, 31 and 32 respectively. Among them, the family of surfaces having a genus 2 fibration forms an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_{5,9}$, the moduli space of surfaces with $\chi = 5$ and $K^2 = 9$.*

The paper is organized as follows.

We recall some tools in Section 2, then we show that the canonical involution i has 1, 3 or 5 isolated fixed points in Section 3. Sections 4, 5 and 6 are devoted to classifying surface case by case according to the number of the fixed points of i.

In Section 7, we study the moduli space of these minimal surfaces. By Kuranishi's theorem each irreducible component of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{5,9}$ has dimension at least 32. In addition, the general point of the irreducible component, in which the family of dimension 30 or 27 is contained, is a surface without a canonical involution.

Acknowledgement. I am heavily indebted to my supervisor Professor Jinxing Cai for his patient guidance and helping me out of the difficulties during the hard time of this paper. My special thanks go to Wenfei Liu and Lei Zhang for sharing many of their ideas and revisions. I also thank Yi Gu, Jingshan Chen and Songbo Ling for numerous useful discussions.

Conventions. We work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. By surface we mean a smooth projective surface. We do not distinguish between line bundles and divisors on a smooth surface, and use the additive and the multiplicative notation interchangeably. We write $\equiv$ for linear equivalence and $\sim$ for numerical equivalence. Unless specifically stated, we use the standard definition in [1] of the usual notations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J29

Key words and phrases. surfaces of general type, classification, canonical involution.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic results about the involution on a surface.

Let S be a minimal surface which has an involution i. The fixed locus of i is the union of a smooth curve R (possibly empty) and isolated points $p_1, \ldots, p_\tau$. Let $\pi' : S \to S/i$ be the quotient map. Then the surface S/i is normal and $\pi'(p_1), \ldots, \pi'(p_\tau)$ are ordinary double points, which are the only singularities of S/i. Resolving these singularities we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{S} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & S \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi'} \\ \widehat{T} & \longrightarrow & S/i \end{array}$$

where ϵ is the blowing-up of S at $p_1, \ldots, p_\tau$, $\widehat{T} = \widehat{S}/\widehat{i}$ is a smooth surface and $\widehat{i}$ is the involution on $\widehat{S}$ induced by i. Let $E_i \doteq \epsilon^{-1}(p_i)$ be the (-1)-curves on $\widehat{S}$, so $A_i \doteq \pi(E_i)$ are the (-2)-curves on $\widehat{T}$. Put $\widehat{\delta} \doteq \frac{1}{2}(\pi(R) + A)$ and $\overline{\delta} \doteq \widehat{\delta} - \frac{1}{2}A = \frac{1}{2}\pi(R)$, where $A \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} A_i$. Sometimes, when we study the birational morphism, we do not distinguish the curve from the total inverse image of it for convenience (i.e. the curve R on S and $\epsilon^* R$ on $\widehat{S}$).

Lemma 2.1. *With the notation as above, we have*

1) $K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta}$ *is big and nef;*

2) $K_S^2 - \tau = K_{\widehat{S}}^2 = 2(K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})^2$ *and* $K_S^2 = 2(K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta})^2$*;*

3) $h^i(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta}) = 0 (i > 0)$ *and* $h^0(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}) + \frac{1}{2}(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})(K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})$*;*

4) $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}) + \frac{1}{2}(K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})\widehat{\delta}$*;*

5) $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - \frac{1}{8}(K_S R - \tau)$*;*

6) $0 \leq \tau = K_S^2 + 6\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 2h^0(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})$.

Proof. 1), 2) and 3) are from [5] Proposition 3.1; 4) and 5) are from [3] Lemma 1.1; 6) is an immediate consequence of 2), 3) and 4). □

A canonical involution on S is an involution which factors through the canonical map. With the help of the following lemma, we only consider the surface having a canonical involution in this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *With the notation as above, if i is a canonical involution on S, then either $p_g(\widehat{T}) = 0$ or $p_g(\widehat{T}) = p_g(S)$. In the former case every isolated fixed point of i is a base point of $|K_S|$, and in the later case R is contained in the fixed part of $|K_S|$.*

Proof. See [3] Lemma 1.3. □

To finish the classification, we recall the contraction theorem using the notation in [14].

Lemma 2.3. ***(Contraction Theorem)*** *Let P be a smooth surface. For each extremal ray l in the half space $\overline{NE(P)}_{K_P<0}$, there exists the associated extremal contraction $\varphi_l : P \to W$. Moreover, φ_l is one of the following type*

1) W is a smooth surface and P is obtained from W by blowing-up a point; $\rho(W) = \rho(P) - 1$.

2) W is a smooth curve and P is a minimal ruled surface over W; $\rho(P) = 2$.

3) W is a point, $\rho(P) = 1$ and $-K_P$ is ample; In fact $P \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

Proof. See [14] theorem 3.7. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let P be a smooth surface and $\delta \in Pic(P) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If*

$$r = max\{t \in \mathbb{R} | \delta + tK_P \text{ is nef } \} < +\infty,$$

then there exists $l \in \overline{NE(P)} \backslash \{0\}$ satisfying

1) $(rK_P + \delta)l = 0$*;*
2) $K_P \cdot l < 0$*;*
3) $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[l]$ *is an extremal ray. (We don't distinguish* $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[l]$ *from* l *below.)*

Proof. Let $M_r \doteq \{l|(rK_S + \delta)l = 0\}$ and $NE(S)^0$ be the interior of $\overline{NE(S)}$. With the increase of r, the intersection of M_r and $NE(S)^0$ will become nonempty from an empty set. If r is the maximal number such that $rK_S + \delta$ is nef, then there exists $l' \in \overline{NE(S)}\backslash\{0\}$ with $(rK_S + \delta)l' = 0$ and $K_S \cdot l' < 0$. By cone theorem, we have $l' = l'' + \Sigma a_n R_n$ with $K_S l'' \geq 0$ and $K_S R_n < 0$ and $a_n \geq 0$ for any n. Since $K_S \cdot l' < 0$, there exists an integer i such that $a_i \neq 0$. Let $l = R_i$. Since $(rK_S + \delta)l' = 0$ and $rK_S + \delta$ is nef, l satisfies the three conditions. □

To prove the existence of each family, we only need to show that the smooth branch curve $2\widehat{\delta}$ on $\widehat{T}$ is really existent. By Bertini's theorem, it is enough to prove the base point free of $|2\widehat{\delta}|$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* P *be a smooth surface and* L *is a nef divisor on* P*. If we assume* $L^2 \geq 5$ *and* $L \equiv (2a + 1)K_P + 2bD$ *where* $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $D \in \mathrm{Pic}(P)$*, then* $|K_P + L|$ *base point free.*

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of the Reider's method (See [1] IV 11.4). □

Lemma 2.6. *Let* P *be a smooth surface,* E *a (-1)-curve on* P*,* $|\Omega|$ *base point free on* P *and* $\Omega E > 0$*. If we assume that* $\Omega \equiv K_P + L + D$*, where* D *is a normal crossing divisor and* L *is big and nef, then* $|\Omega + E|$ *is also base point free.*

Proof. Since $\Omega E > 0$ and $\Omega \equiv K_P + L + D$, E is not a base part of $|\Omega + E|$ by vanishing theorem and Riemann-Roch theorem. So $H^0(P, \Omega)$ is a proper subspace of $H^0(P, \Omega + E)$. For any point $p \in E$, if p is a base point of $|\Omega + E|$, then it must be a base point of $|\Omega|$. □

If W is a smooth surface with a big and nef divisor $-K_W$, then we call W a weak del Pezzo surface. For example, $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_n$ are weak del Pezzo surfaces if $0 \leq n \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* W *be a weak del Pezzo surface and* $\alpha : W' \to W$ *be the blowing-up with center at a point* p *not lying on any (-2)-curve.*

1) If $K^2_{W'} \geq 1$*, then* W' *is also a weak del Pezzo surface. In particular,* $-K_{W'}$ *is nef.*
2) If $K^2_{W'} = 0$*, then* $-K_{W'}$ *is also nef.*

Proof. See [10] Proposition 8.1.16. □

3. Numerical Classification

Lemma 3.1. *If* S *is a minimal surface with* $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 5$ *and* $K_S^2 = 9$*, then*

1) $q(S) = 0$ *and* $p_g(S) = 4$*;*
2) The canonical map of S *is not composed with a pencil.*

Proof. 1) are from [4] Lemma 14; 2) are from [22] Theorem 4.1. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let* S *be a minimal surface with* $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 5, K_S^2 = 9$ *having a canonical involution* i*,* τ *the number of the isolated fixed points of* i*,* $\epsilon : \widehat{S} \to S$ *the blowing-up at the isolated fixed points,* $\widehat{i}$ *an involution on* $\widehat{S}$ *induced by* i *and* $\widehat{T} = \widehat{S}/\widehat{i}$*. Then we have* $p_g(\widehat{T}) = 0$ *and* $\tau \in \{1, 3, 5\}$*.*

Proof. We have that $p_g(\widehat{T})=0$ or $p_g(\widehat{T})=4$ by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1.

If $p_g(\widehat{T})=4$, then we consider the canonical model $\overline{T}$ of $\widehat{T}$. Since $K^2_{\overline{T}}\leq 4$ and $|K_{\overline{T}}|$ is not composed with a pencil by Lemma 3.1, we have $\deg\phi_{K_{\overline{T}}}=2$ and $\deg\phi_{K_{\overline{T}}}(\overline{T})=2$. Since K_S is 2-connected and $8=\deg\phi_{K_S}\cdot\deg\phi_{K_S}(S)<K^2_S=9$, $|K_S|$ has no a base part and has a simple base point. Now $R=\emptyset$ by Lemma 2.2 and $\tau=20$ by Lemma 2.1 5). We have $2(K_{\widehat{T}}+\widehat{\delta})^2=-11$ by Lemma 2.1 2). This contradicts that $K_{\widehat{T}}+\widehat{\delta}$ is a Cartier divisor.

If $p_g(\widehat{T})=0$, then $0\leq\tau=5-2h^0(2K_{\widehat{T}}+\widehat{\delta})$ by Lemma 2.1 6), which gives the result $\tau\in\{1,3,5\}$. □

As a corollary of the Proposition 3.2, we note that the minimal surface with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)=5$ and $K^2_S=9$ has at most one canonical involution.

4. THE CASE $\tau=1$

We consider the case $\tau=1$. Let p be the unique isolated fixed point of the involution i, $E\doteq\epsilon^{-1}(p)$ and $A\doteq\pi(E)$.

With the help of contraction theorem, the property of $\widehat{T}$ is described by the following four steps. Indeed, we find a smooth surface P_s and a birational morphism $f:\widehat{T}\to P_s$ such that $f_*(2K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective and nef. There are at most two choices of surface P_s and morphism f.

Step 1. *$K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta}$ is nef and $2K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective.*

Proof. Since $\pi^*(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})\equiv\epsilon^*K_S$, $K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta}$ is nef. By Lemma 2.1 6) and $\tau=1$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}(2K_{\widehat{T}}+\widehat{\delta}))>0$. By $A(4K_{\widehat{T}}+2\widehat{\delta})=-2<0$, A is contained in the fixed part of $|4K_{\widehat{T}}+2\widehat{\delta}|$. So we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}(2(2K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})))=h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}(4K_{\widehat{T}}+2\widehat{\delta}))>0$. □

Step 2. *There exists a smooth surface P and a birational morphism $\alpha:\widehat{T}\to P$ contracting some (-1)-curves l_i with $(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})l_i=0$. Let $\overline{\delta}_P\doteq\alpha_*(\overline{\delta})$. Then*

1) $\overline{\delta}^2_P=\frac{17}{2}+K^2_P$ and $K_P\overline{\delta}_P=-2-K^2_P$;
2) $\frac{3}{2}K_P+\overline{\delta}_P$ is nef;
3) $K^2_P\in\{-3,-2,-1,0\}$.

Proof. In this case, we have the numerical conditions $K^2_S=9,\tau=1,\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)=5,\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}})=1$, $A^2=-2$ and $K_{\widehat{T}}A=0$. Using the two equations in Lemma 2.1 2) and 4), we can get $\overline{\delta}^2=\frac{17}{2}+K^2_{\widehat{T}}$ and $K_{\widehat{T}}\overline{\delta}=-2-K^2_{\widehat{T}}$.

Let $\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\cup\{\infty\}$ be the maximal number such that $\lambda K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta}$ is nef. By Step 1, we have $\lambda\geq 1$. If $\lambda=1$, then there exists an extremal ray l with $(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})l=0$ and $K_{\widehat{T}}l<0$ by Lemma 2.4. Clearly, l is a (-1)-curve by index theorem and $(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})^2=\frac{9}{2}>0$. So we have a birational morphism $\alpha':\widehat{T}\to P'$ contracting l, where P' is a smooth surface. Since $(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})l=0$ and $K_{\widehat{T}}l=-1$, we have $K^2_{P'}=K^2_{\widehat{T}}+1$, $\overline{\delta}^2_{P'}=\overline{\delta}^2+1$ and $K_{P'}\overline{\delta}_{P'}=K_{\widehat{T}}\overline{\delta}-1$ where $\overline{\delta}_{P'}\doteq\alpha'_*(\overline{\delta})$. For P' we also have $\overline{\delta}^2_{P'}=\frac{17}{2}+K^2_{P'}$ and $K_{P'}\overline{\delta}_{P'}=-2-K^2_{P'}$. Since $(K_{\widehat{P'}}+\overline{\delta}_{P'})^2=(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})^2$ is positive again, we can repeat this process untill we get a birational morphism $\alpha:\widehat{T}\to P$ contracted some (-1)-curves l_i with $(K_{\widehat{T}}+\overline{\delta})l_i=0$, and there is $\lambda>1$ such that $\lambda K_P+\overline{\delta}_P$ is nef. For the same reason, we get $\overline{\delta}^2_P=\frac{17}{2}+K^2_P$ and $K_P\overline{\delta}_P=-2-K^2_P$ where $\overline{\delta}_P\doteq\alpha_*(\overline{\delta})$.

If $K^2_P>0$, then $(\overline{\delta}_P)^2(K_P)^2\leq(\overline{\delta}_PK_P)^2$ by index theorem. By 1) we have $K^2_P\leq\frac{8}{9}<1$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have $K^2_P\leq 0$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the maximal number such that $\lambda K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef. Now we have $\lambda > 1$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an extremal ray l with $(\lambda K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l = 0$ and $K_P l < 0$. Since P is neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a minimal ruled surface, l is a (-1)-curve by contraction theorem. So we have $\lambda = \overline{\delta}_P l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef.

We have $0 \leq (\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)(2K_P + \overline{\delta}_P) = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}K_P^2$. Hence, $K_P^2 \in \{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$. □

Step 3. *Let $P_0 \doteq P$ and $\overline{\delta}_0 \doteq \overline{\delta}_P$. Then there exists a nonnegative integer s and a series of birational morphisms $\beta_i : P_{i-1} \to P_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$, where β_i is the contraction of a (-1)-curve l_i with $(\frac{3}{2}K_{P_{i-1}} + \overline{\delta}_{i-1})l_i = 0$ and $\overline{\delta}_i \doteq \beta_{i*}(\overline{\delta}_{i-1})$. Moreover,*

1) $2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_s$ is nef;
2) If $K_P^2 = 0$, then s=0 or 1; If $K_P^2 = -1$, then s=2 or 3; If $K_P^2 = -2$, then s=6; If $K_P^2 = -3$, then s=10.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the maximal number such that $\lambda K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef. By Step 2, we have $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2}$. If $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}$, then there exists an extremal ray l_1 with $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l_1 = 0$ and $K_P l_1 < 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Since P is neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a minimal ruled surface, l is a (-1)-curve by contraction theorem. So there is a birational morphism $\beta_1 : P \to P_1$ contracted l_1, where P_1 is a smooth surface. Since $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l_1 = 0$ and $K_P l_1 = -1$, we have $K_{P_1}^2 = K_P^2 + 1$, $\overline{\delta}_{P_1}^2 = \overline{\delta}_P^2 + \frac{9}{4}$ and $K_{P_1}\overline{\delta}_{P_1} = K_P\overline{\delta}_P - \frac{3}{2}$. In the same way, we can repeat this process untill we get a series of birational morphisms $\beta_i : P_{i-1} \to P_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$ contracted (-1)-curves l_i with $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l_i = 0$, and there is $\lambda > \frac{3}{2}$ such that $\lambda K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s}$ is nef. With the same calculation method, we have $K_{P_s}^2 = K_P^2 + s$, $\overline{\delta}_{P_s}^2 = \overline{\delta}_P^2 + \frac{9}{4}s$ and $K_{P_s}\overline{\delta}_{P_s} = K_P\overline{\delta}_P - \frac{3}{2}s$.

By index theorem, we have $K_{P_s}^2 \leq 7$, which means that P_s is neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a minimal ruled surface. So $2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s}$ is nef as before.

We have $0 \leq (K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s})(2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s}) = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{s}{4}$ and $0 \leq (2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s})^2 = K_P^2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{4}$. If $s \geq 1$, then $K_{P_s}^2 = K_P^2 + s \geq 1$, which means $(\overline{\delta}_{P_s})^2(K_{P_s})^2 \leq (\overline{\delta}_{P_s}K_{P_s})^2$ by index theorem. Now we can get $s \leq 2(8 - 9K_P^2)/(10 + K_P^2)$ for any K_P^2. So the result of 2) can be calculated. □

Step 4. *The following four cases "$K_P^2 = 0, s = 1$", "$K_P^2 = -1, s = 3$", "$K_P^2 = -2, s = 6$" and "$K_P^2 = -3, s = 10$" do not happen.*

Proof. Let $l \subseteq \widehat{T}$ be a (-1)-curve with $(K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta})l = 0$. Since $K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta}$ is big and nef and $(K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta})A = 0$, Hodge index tells that $Al < \frac{3}{2}$. And by $\overline{\delta}l = -K_{\widehat{T}}l = 1$, we have $Al = 2(\widehat{\delta}l - \overline{\delta}l) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $Al = 0$ and A is still a (-2)-curve in P.

Let $l \subseteq P$ be a (-1)-curve with $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l = 0$. Since $\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is also big and nef, we have $Al < \frac{3}{2}$ as before. However, as $\overline{\delta}_P l = -\frac{3}{2}K_P l = \frac{3}{2}$, we have $Al = 2(\widehat{\delta}l - \overline{\delta}l) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $Al = 1$ and A become a (-1)-curve contained in $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)^\perp$ after contracting l.

Hence, if $s \geq 1$ then we must have $s \geq 2$. If $s \geq 3$, let l' be another (-1)-curve with $(\frac{3}{2}K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})l' = 0$. For convenience, we do not distinguish l' in P_2 from the total inverse image of it in P. We also have $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l' = 0$ and $Al' = 1$. It contradicts that A has been contracted to a point and l' is a curve in P_2.

In conclusion, we have $s = 0$ or $s = 2$. □

Now we only need to consider the two cases "$K_P^2 = 0, s = 0$" and "$K_P^2 = -1, s = 2$". We will give the detailed description of these surfaces and prove the existence in each case.

Proposition 4.1. *If $K_P^2 = 0$ and $s = 0$, then S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$ branched along a curve B_1 in $|\Delta_\infty|$ and a curve B_2 in $|9\Delta_\infty + 18\Gamma|$, where Δ_∞ denotes the section at infinity and Γ is a fibre, having eight ordinary 4-tuple points on B_2 as the only essential singularities.*

Proof. Let $P \doteq P_0$. With the same method, we can get a series of birational morphisms $\beta_i : P_{i-1} \to P_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$ contracted (-1)-curves l_i with $(2K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l_i = 0$, and there is $\lambda > 2$ such that $\lambda K_{P_r} + \overline{\delta}_{P_r}$ is nef. In particular, we have $K^2_{P_r} = K^2_P + r$, $\overline{\delta}^2_{P_r} = \overline{\delta}^2_P + 4r$ and $K_{P_s}\overline{\delta}_{P_r} = K_P\overline{\delta}_P - 2r$.

If $r \geq 1$, then $(\overline{\delta}_{P_r})^2(K_{P_r})^2 \leq (\overline{\delta}_{P_r}K_{P_r})^2$ by index theorem, which means $r \leq 8$ and P_r can't be $\mathbb{P}^2$. If P_r is not a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, then $\frac{5}{2}K_{P_r} + \overline{\delta}_{P_r}$ is nef as before. So we have $0 \leq (\frac{5}{2}K_{P_r} + \overline{\delta}_{P_r})(2K_{P_r} + \overline{\delta}_{P_r}) = -\frac{1}{2}$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, P_r is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and $r = 8$. With the method in Step 4, A is a (-2)-curve in P_8 and P_8 is the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the maximal number such that $\lambda K_{P_8} + \overline{\delta}_{P_8}$ is nef. If $\lambda \geq \frac{5}{2}$, then we also have the contradiction as before. We can assume $2 < \lambda < \frac{5}{2}$, then $\lambda = \frac{7}{3}, \frac{9}{4}$ or $\frac{13}{6}$ by rationality theorem (see [14]). There exists an extremal ray l with $(\lambda K_{P_8} + \overline{\delta}_{P_8})l = 0$ and $K_{P_8} \cdot l < 0$ by Lemma 2.4. l will be the fibre Γ by the contraction theorem and $12(\lambda K_{P_8} + \overline{\delta}_{P_8}) \equiv a\Gamma$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Besides, we have $K_{P_8} \equiv -2\Delta_0$, so $12\overline{\delta}_{P_8} \equiv 24\lambda\Delta_0 + a\Gamma$ and

$$\begin{cases} -2a = (a\Gamma)(-2\Delta_0) = 12(\lambda K_{P_8} + \overline{\delta}_{P_8})K_{P_8} = 12(8\lambda - 18), \\ 24\lambda a = (a\Gamma)(24\lambda\Delta_0 + af) = 12(\lambda K_{P_8} + \overline{\delta}_{P_8})(12\overline{\delta}_{P_8}) = 144(-18\lambda + \frac{81}{2}). \end{cases}$$

So $\lambda = \frac{9}{4}$ and $a = 0$, which infers $\widehat{\delta}_{P_8} \equiv 5\Delta_\infty + 9\Gamma$.

Considering the morphism $\eta : P \to P_8$, if we assume that $\widehat{\delta}_P \equiv (5\Delta_\infty + 9\Gamma) - \Sigma^8_{i=1}c_iE_i$, then $c_i = \widehat{\delta}_P E_i = 2$. Hence, the branch curve of the double cover $S \dashrightarrow P_8$ is the union of $B_1 = \Delta_\infty$ and B_2 in $|9\Delta_\infty + 18\Gamma|$, having eight ordinary 4-tuple points on B_2 as the only essential singularities. □

Theorem 4.2. *We assume that $f : P \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is the blowing-up at 8 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 8)$, where all these points are in general position and none of them is in the section at infinity of the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $\Omega \equiv 9\Delta_\infty + 18\Gamma - 4\Sigma^8_{i=1}E_i$, where Δ_∞ is the section at infinity, Γ is a fibre and $E_i = f^{-1}(p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$. Then*

1) $|\Omega|$ is base point free;
2) The general element in $|\Omega|$ is smooth and irreducible;
3) Let B be a general element in $|\Omega|$ and $A \doteq \Delta_\infty$ be the (-2)-curve. If we assume that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of P branched in $B \cup A$, then $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K^2_S = 9$.

Proof. If we define $L \doteq 10\Delta_\infty + 22\Gamma - 5\Sigma^8_{i=1}E_i$, then $L^2 = 40$ and $L \equiv -5K_P + 2\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, $|-4K_P + 2\Gamma|$ is base point free. Since $|-4K_P + 2\Gamma| + \Delta_\infty \subset |\Omega|$ and $h^0(\Omega) \geq 20 > 19 = h^0(-4K_P + 2\Gamma)$, there exist an element $D \in |\Omega|$ with $D.\Delta_\infty = 0$ and $\Delta_\infty \nsubseteq D$. Hence, $|\Omega|$ is base point free.

2) is true by Bertini's theorem.

Let $\widehat{S}$ be the finite double cover of P branched in $B \cup A$. By 2) and $B.A = 0$, $\widehat{S}$ is a smooth surface. By Lemma 6 in [11], we have $q(\widehat{S}) = 0$, $p_g(\widehat{S}) = 4$ and $K^2_{\widehat{S}} = 8$. Since there is only one (-1)-curve on $\widehat{S}$, which is the preimage of A, we have $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K^2_S = 9$. □

Proposition 4.3. *If $K^2_P = -1$ and $s = 2$, then there is a rational number $0 \leq r \leq 3$ such that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_r$ branched along a curve B in $|8\Delta_\infty + (10 + 4r)\Gamma|$, where Δ_∞ denotes the section at infinity and Γ is a fibre, having seven ordinary 4-tuple points and one (3,3)-point as the only essential singularities.*

Proof. We first analyse the birational morphism $\beta : P \to P_2$. With the same argument in Step 4, let E_1 and E_2 be the two (-1)-curves, then we have $\overline{\delta}_P \equiv \overline{\delta}_{P_2} - \frac{3}{2}E_1 - \frac{3}{2}E_2$ and

$A \equiv E_1 - E_2$. Since $\beta(A) = pt$, we have $2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2} \in Pic(P_2)$ and the birational morphism β corresponds to the canonical resolution of one (3,3)-point in the branch curve.

We have $(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2})^2 = 0$ and $(K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2})(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 2$ by Step 3, $h^i(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ by vanishing theorem, and $h^0(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 2$ by Riemann-Roch theorem. For any general curve $C \in |2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}|$, we have $g(C) = 0$ by the genus formula. Hence, $|2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}|$ is a base point free genus 0 pencil and we have the following commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathbb{F}_r \\ & \searrow^{|2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}|} & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where η is the blowing-up at $K^2_{\mathbb{F}_r} - K^2_{P_2} = 7$ points.

Now we analyse the birational morphism $\eta : P_2 \to \mathbb{F}_r$. Let B_∞ be the strict transform of the $(-r)$-section Δ_∞ of $\mathbb{F}_r$ and E be a (-1)-curve contained in a fibre of $|2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}|$, then B_∞ is an irreducible rational curve with $B_\infty(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 1$. Hence, $B_\infty E$ is 0 or 1. If $B_\infty E = 1$, then we have $(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}-E)^2 = K_{P_2}(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}-E) = -1$, and $2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2}-E$ contains another irreducible (-1)-curve E' with $B_\infty E' = 0$. So we can choose η such that all contracted curve E holds $B_\infty E = 0$.

On this occasion, B_∞ is a smooth rational curve with $B^2_\infty = -r$, then $K_{P_2}B_\infty = r - 2$ by the genus formula. Since $0 \leq (K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})B_\infty = 3 - r$, we have $r \leq 3$. If we assume that $\overline{\delta}_{P_2} \equiv (a\Delta_\infty + b\Gamma) - \Sigma^9_{i=3} c_i E_i$, then

$$\begin{cases} c_i = \overline{\delta}_{P_2} E_i = 2 (3 \leq i \leq 9), \\ a = \overline{\delta}_{P_2}\Gamma = 4, \\ 12 = \overline{\delta}^2_{P_2} = -16r + 8b - 28, b = 5 + 2r. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the branch curve is in $|8\Delta_\infty + (10 + 4r)\Gamma|$, which has one (3,3)-point and seven ordinary 4-tuple points as the only essential singularities. □

If $r \neq 0$, then we can modify the choice of the curves we contract in order to obtain $r = 0$. It follows that the family with $r = 0$ is open and dense in the subscheme of the moduli space of surfaces described in Proposition 4.3 (see Remark 3.6 in [3]). So we only consider the case $r = 0$ and prove the existence.

Theorem 4.4. *We assume that $f : P \to \mathbb{F}_0$ is the blowing-up at 9 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 9)$, where p_1 is in the preimage of p_2 and there is no other condition for the position of these points. Let $\Omega \equiv 8\Delta_\infty + 10\Gamma - 3(E_1 + E_2) - 4\Sigma^9_{i=3} E_i$, where Δ_∞ is the section at infinity, Γ is a fibre and $E_i = f^{-1}(p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 9$. Then*

1) $|\Omega|$ is base point free;
2) The general element in $|\Omega|$ is smooth and irreducible;
3) Let B be a general element in $|\Omega|$ and $A \doteq E_2 - E_1$ be the (-2)-curve. If we assume that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of P branched in $B \cup A$, then $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K^2_S = 9$.

Proof. If we define $L \doteq 10\Delta_\infty + 12\Gamma - 5\Sigma^9_{i=1} E_i$, then $L \equiv -5K_P + 2\Gamma$ and $L^2 = 15$. By the method in appendix, L is nef for the general situation. $|8\Delta_\infty + 10\Gamma - 4\Sigma^9_{i=1} E_i|$ is base point free by Lemma 2.5. Since $|8\Delta_\infty + 10\Gamma - 4\Sigma^9_{i=1} E_i| + E_1 + E_2 \subset |\Omega|$, $|\Omega|$ is also base point free by Lemma 2.6.

2) is true by Bertini's theorem. The proof of 3) is similar to that in Theorem 4.2. □

In particular, each surface in Theorem 4.2 has a genus 4 fibration induced by the double cover, while each surface in Theorem 4.4 has a genus 3 fibration.

5. THE CASE $\tau = 3$

We assume $\tau = 3$ in this section. All the methods used in this section are similar to that in Section 4, and the difference is just the numerical value. So the proofs will be described succinctly and the details of the method can be found in Section 4.

Step 1. *$K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta}$ is nef and $2K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective.*

Proof. See Section 4. □

Step 2. *There exists a smooth surface P and a birational morphism $\alpha : \widehat{T} \to P$ contracting some (-1)-curves l_i with $(K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta})l_i = 0$. Let $\overline{\delta}_P \doteq \alpha_*(\overline{\delta})$. Then*

1) $\overline{\delta}_P^2 = \frac{21}{2} + K_P^2$ and $K_P\overline{\delta}_P = -3 - K_P^2$;
2) $\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef;
3) $K_P^2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Proof. For the same argument in Section 4, we have $\overline{\delta}^2 = \frac{21}{2} + K_{\widehat{T}}^2$ and $K_{\widehat{T}}\overline{\delta} = -3 - K_{\widehat{T}}^2$. Besides, we also get a birational morphism $\alpha : \widehat{T} \to P$ contracting some (-1)-curves l_i with $(K_{\widehat{T}} + \overline{\delta})l_i = 0$ and there is $\lambda > 1$ such that $\lambda K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef. Moreover, $\overline{\delta}_P^2 = \frac{21}{2} + K_P^2$ and $K_P\overline{\delta}_P = -3 - K_P^2$.

If $K_P^2 > 0$, then $(\overline{\delta}_P)^2(K_P)^2 \leq (\overline{\delta}_P K_P)^2$ by index theorem. By 1), we have $K_P^2 \leq 2$. Since P is neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a minimal ruled surface, we also have that $\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef.

By Step 1, we have $0 \leq (\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)(2K_P + \overline{\delta}_P) = \frac{1}{2}K_P^2$. Hence, $K_P^2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. □

Step 3. *Let $P_0 \doteq P$ and $\overline{\delta}_0 \doteq \overline{\delta}_P$. Then there exists a nonnegative integer s and a series of birational morphisms $\beta_i : P_{i-1} \to P_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$, where β_i is the contraction of a (-1)-curve l_i with $(\frac{3}{2}K_{P_{i-1}} + \overline{\delta}_{i-1})l_i = 0$ and $\overline{\delta}_i \doteq \beta_{i*}(\overline{\delta}_{i-1})$. Moreover,*

1) $2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_s$ is nef;
2) If $K_P^2 = 2$, then s=0; If $K_P^2 = 1$, then s=2; If $K_P^2 = 0$, then s=6.

Proof. As before, we get a series of birational morphisms $\beta_i : P_{i-1} \to P_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$ contracting some (-1)-curves l_i with $(\frac{3}{2}K_P + \overline{\delta}_P)l_i = 0$, and there is $\lambda > \frac{3}{2}$ such that $\lambda K_P + \overline{\delta}_P$ is nef. Moreover, $K_{P_s}^2 = K_P^2 + s$, $\overline{\delta}_{P_s}^2 = \overline{\delta}_P^2 + \frac{9}{4}s$ and $K_{P_s}\overline{\delta}_{P_s} = K_P\overline{\delta}_P - \frac{3}{2}s$. We can also prove that P_s is neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a minimal ruled surface and $2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s}$ is nef.

By Step 1, we have $0 \leq (2K_{P_s} + \overline{\delta}_{P_s})^2 = K_P^2 - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{s}{4}$. If $s > 0$, then $K_{P_s}^2 > 0$ and $(\overline{\delta}_{P_s})^2(K_{P_s})^2 \leq (\overline{\delta}_{P_s}K_{P_s})^2$ by index theorem. So the result of 2) can be calculated. □

Now we rebuild the structure of the surface in Step 3 separately.

Proposition 5.1. *If $K_P^2 = 2$, then S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a weak Del Pezzo surface of degree 2 branched along a curve B in $|-5K|$ and three (-2)-curves $A_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $BA_i = A_iA_j = 0 (1 \leq i < j \leq 3)$, having no singular point as the only essential singularities.*

Proof. If $K_P^2 = 2$, then $s = 0$ and $25 = (\overline{\delta}_P)^2(K_P)^2 \leq (\overline{\delta}_P K_P)^2 = 25$, which infers that $5K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P$ is numberial trival by index theorem. Since $4K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P$ is big and nef, we have $h^i(5K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P) = 0$ $(i > 0)$ by vanishing theorem and $h^0(5K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P) = 1$ by Riemann-Roch theorem. Hence, $5K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P$ is trival.

Besides, $-K_P \equiv 4K_P + 2\overline{\delta}_P$ is big and nef, so P is a weak Del Pezzo surface of degree $K_P^2 = 2$. As in Step 4 of Section 4, $A_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ is also (-2)-curves in P, and the other branch curve B is in $|-5K_P|$ with $BA_i = A_iA_j = 0 (1 \leq i < j \leq 3)$. □

For a general surface in this family, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 7 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 7)$, and p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of p_{2k} where $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 5.2. *We assume that $f : P \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blowing-up at 7 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 7)$, where p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of $p_{2k} (k = 1, 2, 3)$ and there is no other condition for the position of these points. Let $\Omega \equiv -5K_P$. Then*

1) $|\Omega|$ is base point free;
2) The general element in $|\Omega|$ is smooth and irreducible;
3) Let B be a general element in $|\Omega|$ and $A_k \doteq E_{2k} - E_{2k-1} (k = 1, 2, 3)$ be the (-2)-curves. If we assume that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of P branched in $B \cup_k A_k$, then $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K_S^2 = 9$.

Proof. If we define $L \doteq -6K_P$, then $L^2 = 72$ and $\Omega \equiv K_P + L$. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, $|\Omega|$ is base point free.

2) is true by Bertini's theorem. The proof of 3) is similar to that in Theorem 4.2. □

Proposition 5.3. *If $K_P^2 = 1$, then there is a rational number $0 \leq r \leq 2$ such that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_r$ branched along a fibre B_1 in $|\Gamma|$ and a curve B_2 in $|8\Delta_\infty + (9 + 4r)\Gamma|$, where Δ_∞ denotes the section at infinity and Γ is a fibre. B_2 has one (3,3)-point and five singular points $x_1, \cdots, x_5$ of multiplicity 4 as the only essential singularities, where x_4 is in B_1 and x_5 is infinitely near to x_4 belonging to the strict transform of B_1.*

Proof. We first analyse the birational morphism $\beta : P \to P_2$. Let E_1 and E_2 be the two (-1)-curves. By the argument in Step 4 of Section 4, we can assume $A_1 \equiv E_1 - E_2$ and $\overline{\delta}_P \equiv \overline{\delta}_{P_2} - \frac{3}{2}E_1 - \frac{3}{2}E_2$. Now we have $2(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}) \in Pic(P_2)$ and the birational morphism β corresponds to the canonical resolution of one (3,3)-point in the branch curve.

If $K_P^2 = 1$, then $s = 2$, which infers $(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})^2 = 0$ and $(K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 1$. Since $3K_{P_2} + 2\overline{\delta}_{P_2}$ is big and nef, we have $h^i(4K_{P_2} + 2\overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 0 (i > 0)$ by vanishing theorem and $h^0(4K_{P_2} + 2\overline{\delta}_{P_2}) = 2$ by Riemann-Roch theorem. We have $g(C) = 0$ by the genus formula for any general curve $C \in |2(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})|$. Hence, $|2(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})|$ is a base point free genus 0 pencil and we have the following commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathbb{F}_r \\ & \searrow^{|2(2K_{P_2}+\overline{\delta}_{P_2})|} & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where η is the blowing-up at $K_{\mathbb{F}_r}^2 - K_{P_2}^2 = 5$ points.

Now we analyse the birational morphism $\eta : P_2 \to \mathbb{F}_r$, we can choose η such that all contracted curve E holds $B_\infty E = 0$ with the same argument in Proposition 4.3. So B_∞ is a smooth rational curve with $B_\infty^2 = -r$, then we can get $K_{P_2} B_\infty = r - 2$ by the genus formula. Since $0 \leq (K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})B_\infty = \frac{5}{2} - r$, then $r \leq 2$. Let l be one of these 5 (-1)-curves. Since l, A_2, A_3 all contained in fibres, then $lA_2 \leq 1$ and $lA_3 \leq 1$ by Zariski's lemma. Moreover, it cann't be $lA_2 = lA_3 = 0$ for all l, since $\mathbb{F}_r$ does not contain two disjoint (-2)-curves. We assume $E_3 A_2 = 1$ where E_3 is the (-1)-curve. Since $E_3(A_2 + A_3) = 2(E_3\widehat{\delta} - E_3\overline{\delta})$ is even, then $E_3 A_2 = E_3 A_3 = 1$. After the contraction of E_3, A_2 and A_3 become (-1)-curves in a fibre with $A_2 A_3 = 1$. Hence, one will be contracted and the other will map isomorphically onto a fibre of $\mathbb{F}_r$, which we denote B_1.

Let $\overline{\delta}_{P_2} \equiv (a\Delta_\infty + b\Gamma) - \Sigma_{i=3}^7 c_i E_i$. Since $\Gamma \equiv 2(2K_{P_2} + \overline{\delta}_{P_2})$, then

$$\begin{cases} c_i = \overline{\delta}_{P_2} E_i = 2 (3 \leq i \leq 7), \\ a = \overline{\delta}_{P_2} \Gamma = 4, \\ 16 = \overline{\delta}_{P_2}^2 = -16r + 8b - 20, b = \frac{9}{2} + 2r. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the branch curve B_2 is in $|8\Delta_\infty + (10+4r)\Gamma|$, which has one (3,3)-point and five singular points $x_1, \cdots, x_5$ of multiplicity 4 as the only essential singularities. Besides, x_4 is in B_1 and x_5 is infinitely near to x_4 belonging to the strict transform of B_1. □

As before, the family with $r = 0$ is open and dense in the subscheme of the moduli space of surfaces described in Proposition 5.3.

Theorem 5.4. *We assume that $f : P \to \mathbb{F}_0$ is the blowing-up at 7 points $p_i (1 \le i \le 7)$, where p_1 is in the preimage of p_2, and p_3 is the intersection of the preimage of p_4 and the strict transform of the fibre B_1 passing through p_4. Let $\Omega \equiv 8\Delta_\infty + 9\Gamma - (3E_1 + 3E_2) - \Sigma_{i=3}^7 4E_i$, where Δ_∞ is the section at infinity, Γ be a fibre and $E_i = f^{-1}(p_i) (1 \le i \le 7)$. Then*

1) $|\Omega|$ is base point free;
2) The general element in $|\Omega|$ is smooth and irreducible;
3) Let B be a general element in $|\Omega|$ and $A_k \doteq E_{2k} - E_{2k-1} (k = 1, 2)$, $A_3 \doteq B_1 - E_4 - E_3$ be the (-2)-curves. If we assume that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of P branched in $B \cup_k A_k$, then $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K_S^2 = 9$.

Proof. If we define $L \doteq -5K_P$, then $L^2 = 5$ and $8\Delta_\infty + 8\Gamma - 4\Sigma_{i=1}^7 E_i \equiv K_P + L$. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, $|8\Delta_\infty + 8\Gamma - 4\Sigma_{i=1}^7 E_i|$ is base point free. Since we have $|8\Delta_\infty + 8\Gamma - 4\Sigma_{i=1}^7 E_i| + |\Gamma| + E_1 + E_2 \subset |\Omega|$, $|\Omega|$ is also base point free by Lemma 2.6.

2) is true by Bertini's theorem. The proof of 3) is similar to that in Theorem 4.7. □

Proposition 5.5. *If $K_P^2 = 0$, then S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a weak Del Pezzo surface of degree 6 branched in a curve B in $|-4K|$, having three (3,3)-points as the only essential singularities.*

Proof. If $K_P^2 = 0$, then $s = 6$. Since $(2K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6})^2 = (2K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6})(K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6}) = 0$ and $(K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6})^2 = 6$, $2K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6}$ is numberial trival by index theorem. By Step 1, we have $2K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6}$ is trival. Now, $-K_{P_6} \equiv K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6}$ is big and nef, so P_6 is a weak Del Pezzo Surface of degree $K_P^2 + 6 = 6$.

We analyse the birational morphism $\beta : P \to P_6$. Let $E_i (1 \le i \le 6)$ be the six (-1)-curves. By the argument in Step 4 of Section 4, we can assume $A_k \doteq E_{2k} - E_{2k-1} (k = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\overline{\delta}_P \equiv \overline{\delta}_{P_2} - \Sigma_{i=1}^6 \frac{3}{2} E_i$. Since $\beta(A_i) = pt$, we have $2K_{P_6} + \overline{\delta}_{P_6} \in Pic(P_6)$ and the birational morphism β corresponds to the canonical resolution of three (3,3)-points in the branch curve. □

For a general surface in this family, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 9 points $p_i (1 \le i \le 9)$, and p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of p_{2k} where $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 5.6. *We assume that $f : P \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blowing-up at 9 points $p_i (1 \le i \le 9)$, where p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of $p_{2k} (k = 1, 2, 3)$ and there is no other condition for the position of these points. Let P_6 be the surface blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $p_i (i = 7, 8, 9)$ and $\Omega \equiv -4K_{P_6} - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^6 E_i \equiv 12h - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^6 E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=7}^9 E_i$, where h is the line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then*

1) $|\Omega|$ is base point free;
2) The general element in $|\Omega|$ is smooth and irreducible;
3) Let B be a general element in $|\Omega|$ and $A_k \doteq E_{2k} - E_{2k-1} (k = 1, 2, 3)$ be the (-2)-curves. If we assume that S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of P branched in $B \cup_k A_k$, then $q(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) = 4$ and $K_S^2 = 9$.

Proof. Let $L \doteq 13h - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^4 E_i - 5\Sigma_{i=5}^9 E_i \equiv -3K_P + 2(h - \Sigma_{i=5}^9 E_i)$. we have $L^2 = 8$ and $10h - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^4 E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=5}^9 E_i \equiv K_P + L$. By the method in appendix, L is nef for the general situation. By Lemma 2.5, $|10h - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^4 E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=5}^6 E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=7}^9 E_i|$ is base point free. Similarly, $|10h - 4\Sigma_{i=1}^2 E_i - 2\Sigma_{i=3}^6 E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=7}^9 E_i|$ is also base point free.

Now we find two subsystems of $|\Omega|$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} |10h - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=5}^{6} E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=7}^{9} E_i| + |2h - \Sigma_{i=1}^{4} E_i| + E_5 + E_6 \subset |\Omega|, \\ |10h - 4\Sigma_{i=1}^{2} E_i - 2\Sigma_{i=3}^{6} E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=7}^{9} E_i| + |2h - \Sigma_{i=3}^{6} E_i| + E_1 + E_2 \subset |\Omega|. \end{cases}$$

Since $|2h - \Sigma_{i=1}^{4} E_i|$ has no base point on $E_i (i = 1, 2)$ and $|2h - \Sigma_{i=3}^{6} E_i|$ has no base point on $E_j (j = 5, 6)$ and $E_i.E_j = 0 (i = 1, 2; j = 5, 6)$, $|\Omega|$ is base point free.

2) is true by Bertini's theorem. The proof of 3) is similar to that in Theorem 4.7. □

In particular, each surface in Theorem 5.2 has a genus 4 fibration induced by the double cover, while each surface in Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.6 has a genus 3 fibration.

6. THE CASE $\tau = 5$

In this section, we will prove that $\tau = 5$ if and only if S has a genus 2 fibration. Based on the methods of Horikawa in [12] and of Catanese and Pignatelli in [7], we find all the satisfying surfaces.

Proposition 6.1. *If $\tau = 5$, then we have an unique genus 2 fibration $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and the involution on each fibre induces an involution on S such that both the canonical map and the bicanonical map of S factor through it.*

Proof. If $\tau = 5$, then $h^0(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1 6). Since $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{S}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T}}(-\widehat{\delta})$ and $K_{\widehat{S}} \equiv \pi^*(K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta})$, we have $H^0(\widehat{S}, 2K_{\widehat{S}}) \simeq H^0(\widehat{T}, 2K_{\widehat{T}} + 2\widehat{\delta})$ and the two canonical map of $\widehat{S}$ factors through the involution.

On this condition, the bicanonical map of S is nonbirational, which infers that S have a genus 2 fibration $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by Theorem 1.8, Theorem 2.1 in [9] and Theorem 5 in [12]. If $|F_1|$ and $|F_2|$ are two different pencils of genus 2 without base point on S, then $F_1 F_2 \geq 2$ and $(F_1 + F_2)^2 \geq 4 > 0$. We have $K_S(F_1 + F_2) = 4$ by genus formula and $K_S^2 \leq 4$ by index theorem. This contradicts $K_S^2 = 9$. Hence, the genus 2 fibration on S is unique.

Let F be a general fibre of the genus 2 fibration. Since $h^0(S, K_S) = 4$ and $h^0(F, K_F) = 2$, we have $h^0(S, K_S - F) \geq 2$. There exists $D \in |K_S - F|$ and D is 1-connected with $D^2 > 0$. So $h^1(S, -D) = 0$ by Theorem A in [4]. Since $h^1(S, 2K_S - F) = h^1(S, -D) = 0$ by Serre duality, the restriction map $H^0(S, 2K_S) \to H^0(F, 2K_F)$ is surjective. It's obvious that $|2K_F|$ factors through the involution on the general fibre F, so we can know that $|2K_S|$ factors the involution induced by the fibre. □

The involution on S induced by the genus 2 fibration is exaclty the involution i. Moreover, if S has a genus 2 fibration, then i factors through the bicanonical map, which means $h^0(2K_{\widehat{T}} + \widehat{\delta}) = 0$ and $\tau = 5$. Hence, none of the surfaces with $\tau = 1$ or $\tau = 3$ has a genus 2 pencil.

Proposition 6.2. *Let S be a minimal surface with $p_g(S) = 4, q(S) = 0$ having a genus 2 fibration $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. If $|K_S|$ is not composed with a pencil, then the canonical map of S factors through the relative canonical map, and $\mathbb{P}(f_* w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_r$ with $r = 0$ or 2.*

Proof. Let ϕ be the projection $\mathbb{P}(f_* w_S) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be the tautological bundle of $\mathbb{P}(f_* w_S)$. Since $f_* w_S \simeq \phi_* \mathcal{O}(1)$, we have $H^0(S, w_S) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}(f_* w_S), \mathcal{O}(1))$. So $\varphi_{K_S} = \varphi \circ \theta$, where $\theta : \mathbb{P}(f_* w_S) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is defined by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\varphi : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(f_* w_S)$.

Since $h^1(S, K_S) = q(S) = 0$, the cokernel of the restriction map $H^0(S, K_S) \to H^0(F, K_F)$ is $H^1(S, K_S - F)$ for any fibre F. If we assume $h^1(S, K_S - F) > 0$, then the restriction map $H^0(S, K_S - iF) \to H^0(F, K_F)$ is not surjective and $h^0(S, K_S - iF) \leq h^0(S, K_S - (i+1)F) + 1$ for any $i \geq 0$. Hence, there is a positive integer n with $h^0(S, K_S) = h^0(S, nF) = n + 1$.

This contradicts that $|K_S|$ is not composed with a pencil. Thus, $h^1(S, K_S - F) = 0$ and the restriction map $H^0(S, K_S) \to H^0(F, K_F)$ is surjective for any fibre F.

Let $C \doteq \varphi(F)$. Since $H^0(\mathbb{P}(f_*w_S), \mathcal{O}(1)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))$ is surjective, the map $\theta|_C$ is an embedding morphism for any fibre C, which infers that θ is base point free. Since φ_{K_S} is not composed with a pencil and $\varphi_{K_S} = \varphi \circ \theta$, θ is a birational morphism.

Let $H = \theta(C)$. We have $\deg H = \deg\varphi_{K_S}(S)$ and the map $\theta|_C : C \to H$ is an embedding morphism. Since H is a plane curve, we have $0 = g(H) = \frac{1}{2}(\deg H - 1)(\deg H - 2)$. Hence, $\deg\varphi_{K_S}(S) = 2$ and $\mathbb{P}(f_*w_S)$ is the minimal resolution of the singularities of $\varphi_{K_S}(S)$, a fortiori, $\mathbb{P}(f_*w_S) \simeq \mathbb{F}_r$ with $r = 0$ or 2. □

Remark 6.3. *In the case of $K_S^2 = 9$, we have another two solutions to prove $deg\varphi_{K_S}(S) = 2$ or $\mathbb{P}(f_*w_S) \simeq \mathbb{F}_r$ with $r = 0$ or 2.*

1) *Since $\tau = 5$, the canonical system has at least 2 base points or a base part by Lemma 2.2. As $\deg\varphi_{K_S}(S) \leq \frac{1}{2}(K_S^2 - 2) < 4$, then the canonical map is of degree two onto a cubic or a quadric. If $\varphi_{K_S}(S)$ is a cubic, then the singular locus of $\varphi_{K_S}(S)$ is of dimension one. We can find a contradiction according to the proof of Lemma 3.14 in* [2].
2) *Let $f_*w_S = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$ and $a \leq b$. Since $\deg f_*w_S = a + b = 2$ and $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, f_*w_S) = 4$, there are three cases "$a = 1, b = 1$", "$a = 0, b = 2$" and "$a = -1, b = 3$". By studying the singularities of the branch curve of the double cover $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(f_*w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1})$, we can find a contradiction if "$a = -1, b = 3$".*

In order to finish the classification, we use the notations and the methods of Horikawa in [11] and [12].

Theorem 6.4. *If $\tau = 5$, then S is the minimal resolution of a double cover of a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_r (r = 0, 2)$ branched along a curve in $|6\Delta + (6 + 2k)\Gamma| (k = 0, 1, 2)$, where Δ denotes the diagonal or the 0-section according as r=0 or 2 and Γ is a fibre, with the possible singularities shown in the following table.*

Family	*r*	*Conditions of Singularities*	*Branch curves*	*Dimension*
$\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$	*0*	$v(I_1) + v(III_1) + v(V) = 5$	$6\Delta + 10\Gamma$	*32*
$\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$	*2*	$v(I_1) + v(III_1) + v(V) = 5$	$6\Delta + 10\Gamma$	*31*
$\mathcal{M}_{2,0}$	*0*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 3, v(II_1)+v(IV_1) = 1$	$6\Delta + 8\Gamma$	*31*
$\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$	*2*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 3, v(II_1)+v(IV_1) = 1$	$6\Delta + 8\Gamma$	*30*
$\mathcal{M}_{3,0}$	*0*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 2, v(I_2)+v(III_2) = 1$	$6\Delta + 8\Gamma$	*30*
$\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$	*2*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 2, v(I_2)+v(III_2) = 1$	$6\Delta + 8\Gamma$	*29*
$\mathcal{M}_{4,0}$	*0*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 1, v(II_1)+v(IV_1) = 2$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*30*
$\mathcal{M}_{4,2}$	*2*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 1, v(II_1)+v(IV_1) = 2$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*29*
$\mathcal{M}_{5,0}$	*0*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 1, v(II_2)+v(IV_2) = 1$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*29*
$\mathcal{M}_{5,2}$	*2*	$v(I_1)+v(III_1)+v(V) = 1, v(II_2)+v(IV_2) = 1$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*28*
$\mathcal{M}_{6,0}$	*0*	$v(I_3) + v(III_3) = 1$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*28*
$\mathcal{M}_{6,2}$	*2*	$v(I_3) + v(III_3) = 1$	$6\Delta + 6\Gamma$	*27*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 2), $|K_S|$ is not composed with a pencil. By theorem 1 in [12] and Proposition 6.1, the branch curve B of the double cover $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(f_*w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1})$ only has the following singularities $(0), (I_k), (II_k), (III_k), (IV_k)$ and (V) with $k \geq 1$. By Proposition 6.2, $\mathbb{P}(f_*w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_0$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$. Since $5 = \sum_k\{(2k-1)(v(I_k)+v(III_k))+2k(v(II_k)+v(IV_k))\}+v(V)$ by theorem 3 in [12], the possible singularities are shown in the table. By Lemma 6 in [11] and the property of surface with a genus 2 fibration, we can find the equivalence class of branch curve for each family.

As a representative, we prove the existence of surface in $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$. Let Δ_∞ be the section with $\Delta_\infty^2 = 0$ on $\mathbb{F}_0$. We assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_0$ at 10 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 10)$,

where p_{2k-1} and p_{2k} are in fibre B_k for $1 \leq k \leq 5$. If we define $f : P \to \mathbb{F}_0$ and $\Omega \equiv 6\Delta + 5\Gamma - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i$, then we can prove that $|\Omega|$ is base point free.

In fact, let $L \doteq 6\Delta + 2\Gamma - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i$, we have $L^2 = 6$ and $4\Delta + 2\Gamma - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i \equiv K_P + L$. By the method in appendix, L is nef for the general situation. By Lemma 2.5, $|4\Delta + 2\Gamma - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i|$ is base point free. Similarly, $|4\Delta + 2\Delta_\infty - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i|$ is also base point free. Let $\widehat{B}_k$ be the strict transform of B_k and $\widehat{\Delta}_{i,j,k}$ be the strict transform of the section passing through the points p_i, p_j and p_k. Now we find three subsystems of $|\Omega|$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} |4\Delta + 2\Delta_\infty - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i| + |2\Gamma| + \Sigma_{k=1}^{5} \widehat{B}_k \subset |\Omega|, \\ |4\Delta + 2\Gamma - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i| + |\Gamma| + \widehat{\Delta}_{1,3,5} + \widehat{\Delta}_{2,4,6} + \widehat{B}_4 + \widehat{B}_5 \subset |\Omega|, \\ |4\Delta + 2\Gamma - 2\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i| + |\Gamma| + \widehat{\Delta}_{5,7,9} + \widehat{\Delta}_{6,8,10} + \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{B}_2 \subset |\Omega|. \end{cases}$$

Since $\widehat{\Delta}_{1,3,5}\widehat{B}_k = \widehat{\Delta}_{2,4,6}\widehat{B}_k = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq 3$ and $\widehat{\Delta}_{5,7,9}\widehat{B}_k = \widehat{\Delta}_{6,8,10}\widehat{B}_k = 0$ for $3 \leq k \leq 5$, $|\Omega|$ is base point free. Now by Bertini's theorem, we can prove the existence of surface in $\mathfrak{M}_{1,0}$ as before.

As a representative, we calculate the dimensions of $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$. For a general surface in this family, we can assume that $\widehat{T}$ is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_0$ at 10 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 10)$, where p_{2k} and p_{2k-1} are in the same fibre B_k for $1 \leq k \leq 5$. Since $2\overline{\delta} \equiv 6\Delta + 5\Gamma - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^{10} E_i$, we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = h^0(P, 2\overline{\delta}) = 24$. Since these 10 points in $\mathbb{F}_0$ depend on $(2+1)\cdot 5 = 15$ parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim \mathcal{M}_{1,0} = \dim |2\widehat{\delta}| + 15 - \dim(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_0)) = 32$. □

In fact, all the 12 families in Theorem 6.4 form an irreducible component of the moduli space. But at this section, we only prove that they are contained in the same irreducible component of the moduli space. The other part will be solved in Theorem 7.3. Here we recall the notations of Catanese and Pignatelli in [7] and the methods of Bauer and Pignatelli in [3].

Theorem 6.5. *The general surface in each of the 12 families in Theorem 6.4 admits a small deformation to a surface belonging to $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$. In particular, all the surfaces in these 12 families are contained in the same irreducible component of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\chi=5,K^2=9}$.*

Proof. Each of the twelve families is contained in an irreducible component of the subscheme of the moduli space given by the surfaces having a canonical involution. We claim that the dimension of it is at least 32. Since for a small deformation in the subscheme preserving the number of the isolated fixed points of the involution, where the number is 5, then the twelve families are in the closure of the family $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$.

Now we prove the claim. First, we use some of the techniques and results developed in [7], which we will report in the case of a genus 2 fibration $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. We can associate to the genus 2 fibration $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the elements $V_1, t, \varepsilon, \omega$, where

(1) $V_1 = f_* w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $\mathrm{deg} V_1 = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + 1 = 6$.

(2) t is an effective divisor of degree $K_S^2 - 2\mathrm{deg} V_1 + 8 = 5$.

(3) ε is an element of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}(\mathcal{O}_t, \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1)/\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}(\mathcal{O}_t)$ giving the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1 \to f_* w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \to \mathcal{O}_t \to 0$$

where $\sigma_2 : \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_1 \to V_2 \doteq f_* w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}^2$ is the natural map induced by the tensor product of canonical sections of the fibres of f; σ_2 yields a rational map $\nu : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ (the relative version of 2-Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$) birational onto a conic bundle $\mathcal{C} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \pi_2^*(\det V_1)^{-2}|$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$.

(4) $\omega \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{A}_6(-22)))$ (Since $\deg(V_3^+)^2 = 2(\mathrm{deg} V_1 + \mathrm{deg} t) = 22$), where $\mathcal{A}_6$ is a vector bundle obtained as a quotient of $\mathrm{Sym}^3 f_* w_{S|\mathbb{P}^1}^2$, the vector bundle of relative

cubics on $\mathbb{P}(f_*w^2_{S|\mathbb{P}^1})$, by the subbundle of cubics vanishing on $\mathcal{C}$

$$0 \to f_*w^2_{S|\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(12) \to \mathrm{Sym}^3 f_*w^2_{S|\mathbb{P}^1} \to \mathcal{A}_6 \to 0$$

The branch curve $\mathcal{B} = \Delta \cap \mathcal{C}$ of the map $S \to \mathcal{C}$ is given by a map $\delta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(22) \to \mathcal{A}_6$, where $\Delta \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(3) \otimes \pi_2^*(V_3^+)^{-2}|$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$.

The following proof is similar as that of Theorem 5.9 in [3].

For the general surface in each of the twelve families, $\mathcal{C}$ has $\deg t = 5$ nodes (the vertices of the singular conics), none of them in $\mathcal{B}$, which is smooth. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ be a minimal desingularization of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{C}$; the 5 (-2)-curves L_i on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ give rise to 5 (-1)-curves on the associated double cover $\widehat{S}$, the exceptional locus of the birational morphism $\widehat{S} \to S$. The finite double cover $\varphi : \widehat{S} \to \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ branches in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, union of the pull-back of $\mathcal{B}$ with the (-2)-curves.

From the Theorem 2.16 in [6], we have $\varphi_*(\Omega^1_{\widehat{S}} \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{S}}) \backsimeq (\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) \oplus (\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}))$ and $\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ is the invariant part.

The morphism $\beta : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ is the contraction of the strict transforms of each component of the singular conics, so of $2\deg t = 10$ exceptional curves E_i of the first kind. If $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the tangent sheaf, then $\chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}) = \deg(ch(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}) \cdot td(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}))_2 = 2K^2_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} - 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}) = 6$ by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem. Besides, we have $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{F}_0} \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^{10}\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(1)$ by Lemma 22 in [11] and the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} \to \beta^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_0} \to \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{F}_0} \to 0,$$

then $\chi(\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = \chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}) - 4\deg t = 6 - 20 = -14$.

Let H be the tautological bundle of $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$ and Γ be the fibre of $\pi_2 : \mathbb{P}(V_2) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we have the numerical equivalence $\mathcal{C} \sim 2H - 12\Gamma$, $\Delta \sim 3H - 22\Gamma$ and $k_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)} \sim -3H + 21\Gamma$. Moreover, $H\Gamma^2 = \Gamma^3 = 0$, $H^2\Gamma = 1$ and $H^3 - 23H^2\Gamma = 0$ by the Theorem 5.1 in [1], so we can calculate $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B} - k_{\mathcal{C}}) = \Delta[\Delta - (\mathcal{C} + k_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)})]\mathcal{C} = (3H - 22\Gamma)(4H - 31\Gamma)(2H - 12\Gamma) = 46$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&h^1(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) - h^2(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) \\
&\geq -\chi(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = -\chi(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})) \\
&= -\chi(\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) - \chi(\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) + \chi(\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(-\widehat{\mathcal{B}})) = 14 + \tfrac{1}{2}(k_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}} - \widehat{\mathcal{B}})(-\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \\
&= 9 + \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B})(\mathcal{B} - k_{\mathcal{C}}) = 32
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.6. *For a general surface S in $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$, we have $h^2(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = h^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S) = 0$ since S is of general type. By Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.5, we can get*

$$32 = \dim\mathcal{M}_{1,0} \geq h^1(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) - h^2(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) \geq -\chi(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = 32,$$

which means $h^0(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = 0$ and $h^1(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = 32$.

7. THE MODULI

In the above sections, we classify all pairs (S, i), where S is a minimal surface with $K^2_S = 9, \chi(S) = 5$ and i is a canonical involution on S, finding 6 families.

Family	Theorem	Short Description
$\mathcal{M}_1$	4.2	double cover of $\mathbb{F}_2$ branched along the section Δ_∞ and a curve B, where B is in $\lvert 9\Delta_\infty + 18\Gamma\rvert$ with eight ordinary 4-tuple points

$\mathcal{M}_2$	4.4	double cover of $\mathbb{F}_r(0 \leq r \leq 3)$ branched along a curve B in $\lvert 8\Delta_\infty + (10+4r)\Gamma\rvert$ with seven ordinary 4-tuple points and one (3,3)-point
$\mathcal{M}_3$	5.2	double cover of weak Del Pezzo surface of degree 2 branched along a curve B and three (-2)-curves A_i, where B is in $\lvert -5K\rvert$ and $BA_i = A_iA_j = 0$
$\mathcal{M}_4$	5.4	double cover of $\mathbb{F}_r(0 \leq r \leq 2)$ branched along a fibre B_1 and a curve B_2, where B_2 is in $\lvert 8\Delta_\infty + (9+4r)\Gamma\rvert$ and has one (3,3)-point and five singular points $x_1, \cdots, x_5$ of multiplicity 4, with $x_4 \in B_1$ and x_5 infinitely near to x_4 belonging to the strict transform of B_1
$\mathcal{M}_5$	5.6	double cover of weak Del Pezzo surface of degree 6 branched along a curve in $\lvert -4K\rvert$ with three (3,3)-points
$\mathcal{M}_6$	6.4	double cover of $\mathbb{F}_r(r = 0, 2)$ branched along a curve in $\lvert 6\Delta+10\Gamma\rvert$ or $\lvert 6\Delta + 8\Gamma\rvert$ or $\lvert 6\Delta + 6\Gamma\rvert$, having the possible singularities in Table

Proposition 7.1. *The 6 families $\mathcal{M}_i(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ is connected and unirational of respective dimensions 28, 27, 33, 32, 31 and 32.*

Proof. We have explained that each family is connected and unirational separately in the above sections. Now we calculate the dimension of each family.

For a general surface in $\mathcal{M}_1$, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_2$ at 8 points $p_i(1 \leq i \leq 8)$. By Theorem 4.2 we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = 20$. Since these 8 points in $\mathbb{F}_2$ depend on 16 parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim\mathcal{M}_1 = (20-1) + 16 - 7 = 28$.

For a general surface in $\mathcal{M}_2$, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_0$ at 9 points $p_i(1 \leq i \leq 9)$, and p_1 is in the preimage of p_2. By Theorem 4.4 we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = 17$. Since these 9 points in $\mathbb{F}_0$ depend on 17 parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim\mathcal{M}_2 = (17-1) + 17 - 6 = 27$.

For a general surface in $\mathcal{M}_3$, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 7 points $p_i(1 \leq i \leq 7)$, and p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of p_{2k} where $k = 1, 2, 3$. By Theorem 5.2 we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = 31$. Since these 7 points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ depend on 11 parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim\mathcal{M}_3 = (31-1) + 11 - 8 = 33$.

For a general surface in $\mathcal{M}_4$, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_0$ at 7 points $p_i(1 \leq i \leq 7)$. p_1 is in the preimage of p_2, while p_3 is the intersection of the preimage of p_4 and the strict transform of the fibre B_1 passing through p_4. By Theorem 5.4 we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = 28$. Since these 7 points in $\mathbb{F}_0$ depend on 11 parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim\mathcal{M}_4 = (28-1) + 11 - 6 = 32$.

For a general surface in $\mathcal{M}_5$, we can assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 9 points $p_i(1 \leq i \leq 9)$, and p_{2k-1} is in the preimage of p_{2k} where $k = 1, 2, 3$. By Theorem 5.6 we have $h^0(P, 2\widehat{\delta}) = 25$. Since these 9 points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ depend on 15 parameters, the dimension of this family is $\dim\mathcal{M}_5 = (25-1) + 15 - 8 = 31$.

For the family $\mathcal{M}_6$, we have done in Theorem 6.4. □

Remark 7.2. *By Kuranishi's theorem each irreducible component of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $K_S^2 = 9, \chi(S) = 5$ has dimension at least $10\chi - 2K^2 = 32$. It follows that the three families $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_5$ are not irreducible components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\chi=5,K^2=9}$. Observe that the general point of the irreducible component, in which the family $\mathfrak{M}_1$ or $\mathcal{M}_2$ is contained, is a surface without a canonical involution. In fact, it cannot be in $\mathcal{M}_i(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ because τ is invariant under deformations preserving the involution.*

In the following theorem, we prove that the family of surfaces with a genus 2 fibration forms an irreducible component of the moduli space.

Theorem 7.3. *The family $\mathcal{M}_6$ is an irreducible components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\chi=5,K^2=9}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.1, $\mathcal{M}_6$ is of dimension 32. To prove that it is an irreducible component of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\chi=5,K^2=9}$, we only need to show that any general surface S in this family satisfies $h^1(S,\mathcal{T}_S)=32$.

For the general surface S in $\mathcal{M}_6$, we have the construction described in Theorem 6.5, which is the following commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{S} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \\ {\scriptstyle\epsilon}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} \\ S & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_0 \end{array}$$

where ϵ is the blowing-up at 5 points, φ is a finite double cover, and β is the blowing-up at 10 points. Besides, let $x_i, y_i (1\leq i\leq 5)$ be the 10 points on $\mathbb{F}_0$, and $E_{x_i}, E_{y_i} (1\leq i\leq 5)$ be the corresponding (-1)-curves on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$, then the branch curve $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ of the finite double cover φ is in $|6\Gamma_1+16\Gamma_2-4\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i})|$. Actually, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}\equiv\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_1+\Sigma_{i=1}^5 L_i$, where $L_i\equiv\Gamma_2-E_{x_i}-E_{y_i}$ is a base part of $|\widehat{\mathcal{B}}|$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_1\equiv 6\Gamma_1+11\Gamma_2-3\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i})$.

We calculate the dimension of $H^i(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})$ first. Since $h^i(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=h^{2-i}(\widehat{S},\Omega^1_{\widehat{S}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{S}})$ by Serre duality and $\varphi_*(\Omega^1_{\widehat{S}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{S}})\simeq(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})\oplus(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L))$ by Theorem 2.16 in [6], where we denote $2L\equiv\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, then we can get $h^i(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=h^{2-i}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})+h^{2-i}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L))$ for $i=0,1,2$.

For the invariant part $\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$, we have $h^0(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})=h^2(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})=0$ and $h^2(\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(log\widehat{\mathcal{B}})\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}})=32$ by Remark 6.6. For the anti-invariant part $\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L)$, we have $h^i(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L))=h^{2-i}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(-L))$ by Serre duality and the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(-L)\to\beta^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_0}(-L)\to\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{F}_0}(-L)\to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{F}_0}\simeq\oplus_{i=1}^5(\mathcal{O}_{E_{x_i}}(1)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{E_{y_i}}(1))$ by Lemma 22 in [11] and $E_{x_i}L_i=E_{y_i}L_i=2$, we have $h^i(\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{F}_0}(-L))=0$ for any i. Since $\beta^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_0}(-L)\simeq\mathcal{O}(-\Gamma_1-8\Gamma_2+2\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i}))\oplus\mathcal{O}(-3\Gamma_1-6\Gamma_2+2\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i}))$, we can calculate

$$\begin{cases} h^i(\mathcal{O}(-\Gamma_1-8\Gamma_2+2\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i})))=0, i=0,2 \\ h^1(\mathcal{O}(-\Gamma_1-8\Gamma_2+2\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i})))=10, \\ h^i(\mathcal{O}(-3\Gamma_1-6\Gamma_2+2\Sigma_{i=1}^5(E_{x_i}+E_{y_i})))=0, i=0,1,2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have $h^i(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L))=0$ for $i=0,2$ and $h^1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}},\Omega^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}\otimes\Omega^2_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(L))=10$. In conclusion, we can get $h^0(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=h^2(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=0$ and $h^1(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=32+10=42$.

Now we calculate the dimension of $H^i(S,\mathcal{T}_S)$ using the following exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}}\to\epsilon^*\mathcal{T}_S\to\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}/S}\to 0.$$

We remark that $h^0(S,\mathcal{T}_S)=0$ and $h^i(\widehat{S},\epsilon^*\mathcal{T}_S)=h^i(S,\mathcal{T}_S)$ for any i. Since ϵ is the blowing-up at 5 points, then we get $h^0(\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}/S})=10$ and $h^1(\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}/S})=0$ by Lemma 22 in [11]. Hence, we have $h^2(S,\mathcal{T}_S)=0$, $h^2(\widehat{S},\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{S}})=0$ and $h^1(S,\mathcal{T}_S)=32$. □

Appendix

Here, we give the algorithm to judge whether an effective divisor on $\mathbb{F}_0$ is nef or not. In this process, we only need to solve a system of linear equations and find the irreducible decompostion of any given effective divisor. It's similar for the divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

As a representative, we consider the example in Theorem 4.4. Let Δ_∞ be the section at infinity and Γ be a fibre of the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_0$. We assume that P is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{F}_0$ at 9 points $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 9)$, where p_1 is in the preimage of p_2. If we denote $f : P \to \mathbb{F}_0$ and $L \doteq 10\Delta_\infty + 12\Gamma - 5\Sigma_{i=1}^{9} E_i$ where $E_i = f^{-1}(p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 9$, we need to prove that L is nef.

First, we give a decompostion $L = L_1 + L_2 + L_3$, where

$$\begin{cases} L_1 \doteq 2\Delta_\infty + 2\Gamma - \Sigma_{i=1}^{7} E_i - E_8, \\ L_2 \doteq 2\Delta_\infty + 2\Gamma - \Sigma_{i=1}^{7} E_i - E_9, \\ L_3 \doteq 6\Delta_\infty + 8\Gamma - 3\Sigma_{i=1}^{7} E_i - 4\Sigma_{i=8}^{9} E_i. \end{cases}$$

Now L_1 and L_2 are nef by Lemma 2.7 and $L.L_1 = L.L_2 = 4$. Since $h^0(P, L_3) \geq 1$ and $L.L_3 = 7$, we only need to prove that L_3 is effective. So it is enough to prove that L_2 is irreducible.

Secondly, we need to give a defining equation of the image of L_3 on $\mathbb{F}_0$. We can choose a coordinate system such that the defining equation is

$$g(x, y) \doteq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 6, 0 \leq j \leq 8} a_{i,j} x^i y^j,$$

where $div(x) \equiv \Delta_\infty$ and $div(y) \equiv \Gamma$ and $\{a_{i,j}\}$ are undetermined coefficients. We denote $p_k \doteq (x_k, y_k)$ and

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(p_k) \doteq \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta} g(x_k, y_k).$$

Since p_8 and p_9 are ordinary 4 points of $g(x, y)$, so we have the following 20 linear equations of $\{a_{i,j}\}$

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(p_k) = 0 (0 \leq \alpha, 0 \leq \beta, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 3, 8 \leq k \leq 9).$$

Since $p_k (3 \leq k \leq 7)$ are ordinary 3 points of $g(x, y)$, so we have the following 30 linear equations of $\{a_{i,j}\}$

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(p_k) = 0 (0 \leq \alpha, 0 \leq \beta, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2, 3 \leq k \leq 7).$$

Let C be the section passing through p_2. For p_1 and p_2, we can assume that p_1 is the intersection of E_2 and the strict transform of C to reduce the difficulty of calculation. So we have the following 12 linear equations of $\{a_{i,j}\}$

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(p_k) = 0 (0 \leq \alpha, 0 \leq \beta, 0 \leq \alpha + 2\beta \leq 2 + 3, k = 2).$$

Now we have 63 undetermined coefficients $\{a_{i,j}\}$ and 62 homogeneous linear equations. If we assume that

$$a_{0,0} = 1,$$

then we can get a unique solution $\{a_{i,j}\}$ and a unique defining equation $g(x, y)$, with the help of computer, for the general choice of $\{p_k\}$.

Finally, we can use the function command "factor()" in MATLAB to give the irreducible decompostion of $g(x, y)$. After the calculation, we know that $g(x, y)$ is irreducible for the general choice of $\{p_k\}$.

References

[1] W. P.Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, A. Van de Ven: Compact Complex Surfaces, *Springer-Verlag*, 2004

[2] I.C. Bauer: Surfaces with $K^2 = 7$ and $p_g = 4$, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **152** (2001).

[3] I.C. Bauer, R. Pignatelli: Surfaces with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$ and canonical involution, *Osaka J. Math.* **46**, (2009), no. 3, 799-820.

[4] E. Bombieri: Canonical models of surfaces of general type, *Inst. Hautes. Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **42** (1973) 171-219.

[5] A. Calabri, C. Ciliberto. M. Mendes Lopes: Numerical Godeaux surfaces with an involution, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**, (2007), no. 4, 1605-1632.

[6] F. Catanese: On the moduli spaces of surfaces of general type, *J. Differential Geom.* **19** (1984), no. 2, 483-515.

[7] F. Catanese, R. Pignatelli: Fibrations of low genus I, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (4) **39** (2006), 1011-1049

[8] F. Catanese, W. Liu, R. Pignatelli: The moduli space of even surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$ and $q = 0$, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **101** (2014), no.6, 925-948

[9] C. Ciliberto, P. Francia and M. Mendes Lopes: Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, *Math. Z.* **224** (1997), 137-166.

[10] I. V. Dolgachev: Classical Algebraic Geometry - A Modern View, *Cambridge University Press* (2012)

[11] E. Horikawa: On deformations of quintic surfaces, *Inventiones mathematicae.* **31**, (1975), 43-85.

[12] E. Horikawa: On algebraic surfaces with pencils of curves of genus 2, *in Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo*, 1977, 79-90.

[13] E. Horikawa: Algebraic surfaces of genaral type with small c^2, I-V, 1976-1981

[14] J. Kollar: Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, *Cambridge University Press*, 1998

[15] K. Konno: On the irregularity of special non-canonical surfaces, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **30**, (1994), 671-688.

[16] X. Liu: Algebraic surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 2p_g - 1, p_g \geq 5$, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* **12** (1996), 234-243.

[17] M. Marti Sanchez: Surfaces with $K^2 = 2\chi - 2$ and $p_g \geq 5$, *Geom. Dedicata*, **150** (2011), 49- 61.

[18] M. Murakami: A bound for the orders of the torsion groups of surfaces with $K^2 = 2\chi - 1$, *Math. Z.* **253** (2006), 251- 262.

[19] M. Murakami: Remarks on surfaces with $K^2 = 2\chi - 1$ having non-trivial 2-torsion, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **65** (2013), 51-95.

[20] P.A. Oliverio: On even surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$, $q = 0$, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **113** (2005), 1-14.

[21] C. Werner: Surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 2\chi - 1$, *Kyoto J. Math.* **55** (2015), no. 1, 29-41.

[22] F. Zucconi: Numerical inequalities for surfaces with canonical map composed with a pencil, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **9**, (1998), 459-476.